PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT				
To: KANCELARIA PATENTOWA ALEKSANDRA MARCINSKA Attn. Marcinska, Aleksandra Ul. M. Dabrowskiej 9/57 01-903 Warsaw POLAND	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WPLYNELO 2004 - 3S - 0 8 Kancelaria Patentowa (PCT Rule 44.1) Dad Schanda Marcinska (day/month/year) 03/09/2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	03/09/2004				
DDU/04.02	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/PL2004/000015 Applicant	International filing date (day/month/year) 29/02/2004				
KULAKOWSKI, Henryk					
1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report, however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. In obscision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application, will be published by the International Bureau in the applicant may submit comments to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International publication, a notice of withdrawal of the International application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International publication, a notice of withdrawal of the International application, or of the priority claim, must					
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Matthew Davis				

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims):
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

it must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international proliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

WPŁYNĘŁO

2004 -09- 08

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Kancelaria Patentowa Aleksandra Marcińska

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION as we	see Form PCT/ISA/220
DDU/04 . 02 International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	as, where applicable, item 5 below.
	incinational ming date (day/monthlyear)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/PL2004/000015	29/02/2004	17/12/2003
upplicant ULAKOWSKI, Henryk		
g and a new vervi cupy to being t		thority and is transmitted to the applicant
	is of a total of <u>5</u> sheets. y a copy of each prior art document cited in this	s report.
The internationa	e international search was carried out on the banless otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the
	ule 23.1(b)). cotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed	
	und unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	cking (see Box III).	
With regard to the title,		
_	ubmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as follows:	
. With regard to the abstract,		
the text is approved as su		
the text has been establis may, within one month fro	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority in the date of mailing of this international searc	y as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant h report, submit comments to this Authority.
With regards to the drawings,		
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	ublished with the abstract is Figure No.	
as suggested by t	he applicant.	
	s Authority, because the applicant failed to sugg	
as selected by this	s Authority, because this figure better character	
b none of the figures is to be	published with the abstract.	

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/PL2004/000015

Box No. IV Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

A method of effecting access to services in a telecommunication network is the fact that the service can be accessed from the user's terminal identically with the use of two complementary interfaces: a voice and a text terminal with the use of USSD commands, where the service is effected when the user initiates a connection with the service access number, which in the case of a voice interface is treated as a telecommunication number of the chosen service, and in the case of a text interface it is treated as a USSD command, consisting of the same digit sequences, and differing only in the characters "*" and "#", included therein, whereas the differences are responsible for choosing a channel

by the telecommunication network, and what comes with it, also for choosing of an interface, through which the user's connection with the service would be effected, and the decision on how this connection is to be handled preferably is made by the service, basing on information related to the incoming connection, received either directly from the telecommunication network or indirectly from the telecommunication operator.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet (3)) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/PL2004/000015

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04Q7/22 H04M H04M3/42 H04M3/493 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 HO4Q HO4M Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 2003/144016 A1 (FINE ERAN ET AL) 1 - 631 July 2003 (2003-07-31) paragraph '0073! - paragraph '0077! paragraph '0050! - paragraph '0064! paragraph '0035! - paragraph '0040! paragraph '0019! - paragraph '0022! paragraph '0013! - paragraph '0013! paragraph '0003! - paragraph '0005! X US 5 943 611 A (MOELNE ANDERS LENNART) 1 - 624 August 1999 (1999-08-24) column 9, line 43 - column 10, line 62 column 6, line 4 - line 31 column 5, line 33 - line 46 column 1, line 37 - line 47 Α US 2002/123359 A1 (GHE EUGENE ET AL) 1 - 65 September 2002 (2002-09-05) Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the International search Date of mailing of the international search report 24 August 2004 03/09/2004 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl. Dominguez, I Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/PL2004/000015

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Α	DE 199 42 607 A (SIEMENS AG) 8 March 2001 (2001-03-08) column 4, line 63 - column 5, line 50 column 3, line 48 - column 4, line 16	1-6
PCTASA	(continuation of second sheet) (January 2004)	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/PL2004/000015

					1 22004/ 000013
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2003144016	A1	31-07-2003	AU	6629501 A	02-01-2002
			EP	1295492 A1	26-03-2003
			ΑU	6994001 A	02-01-2002
			WO	0199445 A1	27-12-2001
			WO	0198867 A2	27-12-2001
			US	2002022485 A1	21-02-2002
			US	2002099799 A1	25-07-2002
US 5943611	Α	24-08-1999	US	5689547 A	18-11-1997
			ΑU	707694 B2	15-07-1999
			AU	7523996 A	22-05-1997
			BR	9611404 A	05-01-1999
			CA	2233688 A1	09-05-1997
			CN	1200862 A ,	3 02-12-1998
			DE	69632162 D1	19-05-2004
			EE	9800138 A	15-10-1998
			EP	0858712 A1	19-08-1998
			HK	1017798 A1	29-08-2003
			JP	2000511007 T	22-08-2000
			NO	981980 A	30-06-1998
			PL	326556 A1	28-09-1998
			RU	2153239 C2	20-07-2000
			TR	9800772 T2	21-08-1998
			WO	9716935 A1	09-05-1997
US 2002123359	A1	05-09-2002	US	2002116450 A1	22-08-2002
DE 19942607	Α	08-03-2001	DE	19942607 A1	08-03-2001
			WO	0117280 A2	08-03-2001

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL	. SEARCHING AUTH	IORITY					
То:			· . 3	PCT			
see	form PCT/ISA/220	Kar. Aleksandi	Marcińska WI	I BITTEN OPINION OF THE TONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
	WPŁYNĘŁ	0	[5-4-1	(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
	2004 -09- 0 8		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
see form PCTA	r KilaceloriacP atento Melopa ndra Marciń	ska	FOR FURTHI See paragraph 2				
PCT/PL2004/00	00015	International filing date (c 29.02.2004	17.12.2003				
H04Q7/22, H04	t Classification (IPC) or M3/42, H04M3/493	both national classification	and IPC				
Applicant KULAKOWSKI	Henryk		***				
1. This opinion	on contains indicati	ons relating to the follo	owing items:				
Box No	I Basis of the op	pinion					
⊠ Box No.							
☐ Box No.	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
☐ Box No.	IV Lack of unity of	f invention	,	and modernal applicability			
⊠ Box No.	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
☐ Box No.				•			
☐ Box No.	VII Certain defects	in the international appl	ication				
☐ Box No.	VIII Certain observ	ations on the internations	al application				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
months from	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further of	etails, see notes to F	form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing a	ddroen of the ICA						

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Domínguez, I

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2232



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

_	Bo	x N	lo. I Basis of the opinion			
1	. Wi	th re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
			nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following			
			nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. t	ype	of material:			
			a sequence listing			
			table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. f	orm	at of material:			
	l		in written format			
	(in computer readable form			
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:			
	[contained in the international application as filed.			
	[filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	0		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		cor	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
I.	Add	itior	al comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/PL2004/000015

_								
	Bo	x No. II	Priority					
1.		The fo	llowing document h	nas not be	en furnishe	ed:		
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlie	r application	n whose p	priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).		
			translation of the	earlier app	lication wh	nose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
		Conse neverti	quently it has not b	een possi	hle to cons	sider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has tion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.		
2.								
3.	Add	ditional c	bservations, if nec	essary:				
_								
		c No. V ustrial a	Reasoned state	ment und	ler Rule 43	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement		
1.		tement				no supporting such statement		
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes:	Claims			
				No:	Claims	1-6		
	Inve	entive ste	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims			
				No:	Claims	1-6		
	Indu	istrial ap	plicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-6		
				No:	Claims			
2.	Cital	tions and	d explanations					
			e sheet					
		•						
	Box	No. VII	Certain defects	in the feet				
		140. VII	Certain defects	iii the inti	ernational	application		

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

10/583129 iAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 16 JUN 2006 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/PL2004/000015

Concerning section V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2003/144016 A1 (FINE ERAN ET AL) 31 July 2003 (2003-07-31)

D2: US-A-5 943 611 (MOELNE ANDERS LENNART) 24 August 1999 (1999-08-24)

2. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 5 PCT that the description shall disclose the invention in manner sufficiently clear and complete for the invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art. This applies in particular to the term "simultaneously", in "the service can be accessed from the user's terminal identically and simultaneously with the use of two complementary interfaces", used throughout the description and in claim 1.

It is apparent from the description (see in particular page 3, lines 18 to 24; page 6, lines 1 to 8; page 7, lines 13 to 18 and the examples provided on pages 8 to 11) that one interface **or** the other is used at a certain time to gain access to the service, i.e. that both interfaces are not used simultaneously, but in alternate modes. It is nowhere disclosed in the application how the two interfaces could work simultaneously to gain access to the service.

The present opinion has therefore been established as if the word "simultaneously" were not present in the claims.

- 3. In addition, the claims do not clearly define the matter for which protection is sought, contrary to Article 6 PCT, for the following reasons:
- 3.1. The following features of claims 1, 2 and 4 to 6 have no antecedent:

Claim 1: "the user's terminal" (line 5), "the service access number" (lines 9-10), "the differences" (line 15), "the incoming connection" (line 21), "the telecommunication operator" (line 23).

Claim 2: "the connection session" (page 13, line 4).

Claim 4: "the term of access" (line 13).

Claim 5: "the term of a connection" (line 16).

Claim 6: "the subsequent connection" (line 21).

3.2. Moreover, some of the expressions employed in the claims do not have a well recognised meaning and thus render unclear the matter for which protection is sought.

This is the case of the expression "what comes with it" in claim 1 (line 17), and of the expressions "the term of access" and "the term of a connection" used respectively in claims 4 and 5.

- 3.3. Furthermore, the expression "can be any other interface", used in claim 3, unduly broadens the scope of protection, is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear, Article 6 PCT.
- 4. In addition, the applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that the use of expressions such as "preferably", as in claims 1 and 2, has no limiting effect on the scope of a claim, and therefore, the features to which such expressions refer are regarded as entirely optional (see PCT-Guidelines, C-III, Part II, Chapter 5, 5.40).
- 5.1. The above lack of clarity objections notwithstanding, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 to 6 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document), according to the features of claim 1, a method of effecting access to services in a telecommunication network (see e.g. the abstract), wherein the service can be accessed from a user's terminal identically with the use of two complementary interfaces: a voice and a text terminal with the use of USSD commands (see e.g. paragraph 56), where the service is effected when the user initiates a connection with a service access number (cf. paragraph 50, in particular lines 3 to 6), which in the case of a voice interface is treated as a telecommunication number of the chosen service (see paragraph 50, lines 6-7; see also paragraphs 63 and 64), and in the case of a text interface is treated as a USSD command (see paragraph 73 and 74), consisting of the same digit sequences, and differing only in the characters "*" and "#" (see paragraphs 73 and 76), included therein, whereas this difference in the characters "*" and "#" is responsible for choosing a channel by the telecommunication network (see paragraph 58 and paragraph 73, last 4 lines) and for choosing of an interface, through which the user's connection with the

The features of claim 5, as far as they can be construed, are also disclosed both in D1 (see paragraph 61 in combination with paragraph 73 or 76) and in D2 (cf. column 9, lines 43 to 48).

Finally, the features of claim 6 are disclosed in D1 (cf. paragraph 36) and D2

Therefore, the dependent claims, either alone or in combination, cannot be considered to offer a basis for an inventive main claim.

Concerning section VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. Independent claim 1 is not in the proper two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

service would be effected (see e.g. paragraph 53; see also paragraph 62), and the decision on how this connection is to be handled is based on information related to the user's connection with the service (see paragraph 38), received from the telecommunication network (from resolving server 24, see again paragraph 53).

Hence, document D1 already discloses all the features of claim 1, which therefore lacks novelty, contrary to Article 33(2) PCT.

- 5.2. Furthermore, even if it were argued that the subject-matter of claim 1 is novel, based on minor differences between the claimed and the known methods, it would not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT, especially as D1 addresses the same problem (application: see page 3, lines 6 to 10; D1: paragraph 13) and discloses the same type of solution as the present application.
- 5.3. Moreover, the above objections regarding novelty and inventive step could also have been raised in view of document D2 (see in particular column 6, lines 4 to 31 and column 9, lines 43 to 62).
 - Hence, in the light of the disclosure of D2, the subject-matter of claim 1 is neither new (Article 33(2) PCT) nor involves an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) and therefore claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT.
- 6. The additional features set out in the dependent claims 2 to 6 do not seem to add anything which is both new and of inventive significance to claim 1, to which they are appended, taking into account the disclosure of the above cited documents D1 and D2, as well as the general knowledge in the art.

Indeed, the features of claim 2 are already known from D1 (see e.g. paragraph 63 or 73).

An SMS interface, as in claim 3, is disclosed both in D1 (cf. paragraph 74) and in D2 (see column 9, lines 43 to 48).

The provision of connection to another user by accessing a server, as in claim 4, is directly derivable from D1 (see paragraph 74) and is disclosed in D2 (cf. column 1, lines 37 to 47).

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS		
IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES		
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING		
blurred or illegible text or drawing	-	 -
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES		
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS		
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS		
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT		
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY		
□ other:		

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.